ATTORNEYS AT LAW MOUNTAIN VIEW	1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &	CHARLENE M. MORROW (CSB NO. 136411)
	2	SULLIVAN, LLP John B. Quinn (Bar No. 90378)	cmorrow@fenwick.com VIRGINIA K. DEMARCHI (CSB NO. 168633)
	3	865 S Figueroa St 10th Floor	vdemarchi@fenwick.com HECTOR J. RIBERA (CSB NO. 221511)
	4	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000	hribera@fenwick.com BRYAN A. KOHM (CSB No. 233276)
	5	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100 Email: johnquinn@quinnemanuel.com	bkohm@fenwick.com RAVI RANGANATH (CSB NO. 272981)
	6		rranganath@fenwick.com YIXIN ZHANG (CSB No. 270527)
	7	David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758) Melissa J. Baily (Bar No. 237649)	yzhang@fenwick.com FENWICK & WEST LLP
	8	Carl G. Anderson (Bar No. 239927) 50 California Street, 22nd Floor	Silicon Valley Center
	9	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875 6600	801 California Street Mountain View, California 94041
	10	Facsimile: (415) 875 6700 Email: davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com	Telephone: (650) 988-8500 Facsimile: (650) 938-5200
	11	melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com carlanderson@quinnemanuel.com	Attorneys for Defendants LSI Corporation and
	12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Agere Systems LLC
	13	Barnes & Noble, Inc. and barnesandnoble.com llc	
	14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
	17		
	18	BARNES & NOBLE, INC. and BARNESANDNOBLE.COM LLC,	Case No. 11-cv-02709 EMC
	19		JOINT STIPULATION AND
	20	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING AMENDMENT TO MARCH 25, 2013
	21	V.	CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER
	22	LSI CORPORATION and AGERE SYSTEMS LLC,	Trial Date: None set
	23	Defendants.	
	24		
	25	Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiffs Barnes & Noble, Inc. and barnesandnoble.com llc	
	26	("Plaintiffs") and Defendants LSI Corporation and Agere Systems LLC ("Defendants")	
	27	(collectively, the "Parties"), stipulate as recited below and jointly request that the Court amend	
	28	the current case management schedule as set forth below.	
		REVISED STIPULATION REGARDING	CASE NO : 11-CV-02709 EMC

MANAGEMENT ORDER

Case3:11-cv-02709-EMC Document218 Filed05/07/13 Page2 of 4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

WHEREAS, the Court, by Order dated March 25, 2013 (Dkt. No. 193), reset the dates for
the briefing schedule for claim construction, under which LSI's opening claim construction brief
is due May 10, 2013;

WHEREAS, a hearing is scheduled for May 16, 2013 on Defendants' Motion for Leave to Amend Infringement Contentions and Counterclaims (Dkt. No. 200) ("Motion for Leave");

WHEREAS, as stated in briefing submitted in connection with LSI's Motion for Leave, the Parties have agreed that if the Court grants LSI's Motion for Leave, then the parties will propose a consolidated claim construction briefing schedule for Defendants' Asserted and Supplemental Patents;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs do not agree with Defendants' proposal regarding further scheduling (Dkt. No. 212 at 3);

WHEREAS the Parties anticipate that, if the Court grants LSI's Motion for Leave, then the Parties will meet and confer and advise the Court regarding an appropriate schedule for further consolidated proceedings on Defendants' Asserted and Supplemental Patents;

WHEREAS, the Parties have met and conferred to discuss a proposal that all claim construction briefing be stayed pending resolution of LSI's Motion for Leave, or in the alternative, to extend claim construction briefing deadlines on an interim basis for a minimum of one week in light of the May 16, 2013 hearing date;

WHEREAS, the Parties do not expect that these revisions will impact any other dates already fixed by Court Order;

THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE, subject to Court approval, that claim construction briefing will be temporarily stayed pending resolution of LSP's Motion for Leave;

FURTHERMORE the parties request, in the alternative, that all claim construction briefing deadlines be extended on an interim basis by one week.

25

22

23

24

26

27

28

CASE NO.: 11-CV-02709 EMC

REVISED STIPULATION REGARDING AMENDMENT TO MARCH 25, 2013 CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

27

28

CASE NO.: 11-CV-02709 EMC

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45 Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that the concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from its signatories. Dated: May 6, 2013 FENWICK & WEST LLP By: /s/ Ravi Ranganath Ravi Ranganath Attorneys for Defendants LSI Corporation and Agere Systems LLC FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MOUNTAIN VIEW

CASE NO.: 11-CV-02709 EMC